Berlin, 07.12.06

No Risk from Mobile Phone Radiation?
How valid is the Danish Study

Is honest science still wanted today?

Or:

Tell me who pays for your science, and I shall tell you which results you will achieve.

Or:

The profits of the wireless telecommunications and information technology industries have priority over public health.

In December 1971, the U.S. Government published a report which carried the title 'A Program to control Electromagnetic Environmental Pollution'.

This report was written by nine experts, who had been nominated in 1968 by the U.S. Office of Telecommunications Police. The report highlights for the first time the hitherto unknown extent of the environmental danger caused by the growing spread of the use of microwaves in technical communications and industry. This report gave a stark warning of the health damage this would entail.

"If appropriate precautions and controls based on a basic understanding of the biological effects of electromagnetic radiation are not introduced in the near future, the human race will in the coming decades enter an age of pollution through energy, which is comparable to today's chemical pollution.

The consequences of underestimating or ignoring the biological damage, which can occur due to permanent exposure to radiation even at very low levels, will one day be seen to have an effect on public health."

Although serious medical doctors and scientist have known the Microwave Syndrome (also known as Radio Wave Syndrome or Frequency Illness) for more than 74 years, and many cancer cases have been attributed to high frequencies over the years, the public is presented with this new study, which claims that mobile telecommunications are completely harmless. The ingeniousness of Messrs. Joe McLaughlin and Joshua Muscat is admirable, it would, in fact, make for a very good circus act!

Their study compares mobile users and non-users, but makes no mention of the fact that the microwave soup which enfolds our entire planet (see the U.S. Government Report of 1971) impacts on users and non-users alike. Other confounding influences, such as domestic electricity and others are also obviously missing from the study.

This is as if you were to examine the comparative lung cancer risk of a smoker and a non-smoker who is standing directly next to the smoker.

Finally, these Industry (Communications and IT) apologists are trying to lead us to believe that mobile telecommunications frequencies are less harmful than for example radar waves. The human body does not care for such technical differences. It reacts to all high frequency radiation with the same stress reaction, which manifests after longer exposure as the so-called microwave syndrome or as malignant disease.

Do Messrs. Mc Laughlin and Muscat actually know how many people are constantly irradiated around the clock by transmitters?

The consequences this can entail are shown in the case of the irradiation of the U.S. Embassy in Moscow, which used a field strength of 1µW/cm², i.e. 10^4 times less radiation than the current guideline valid in Western Europe and the USA. Of the four Ambassadors in office during the time of irradiation (1962-1979), three died of oncological diseases. This has never occurred before in any Embassy and not in the entire history of diplomacy.

It is deplorable that the media are always prone to fall prey to pieces of sleight of hand as performed by Messrs McLaughlin and Muscat.

And it is unbelievable how truth is twisted in order to keep the general public calm and maintain the belief that mobile telecommunications pose no risks.

Against better knowledge and in spite of the knowledge of the basics laws of physics and medicine, there are continuous efforts to trivialise the damaging effect of radiation and even to deny it altogether.

It has been proven that radiation of telecommunications transmitters in the near field, i.e. under 300 meters, causes extreme health damage and even damage to nature. There has also been proof that mobile phones cause sustained disruption and damage to the EEG and cells.

Are the independent scientists all cranks or is Dr George Carlo right?

Is there a systematic flow of information which is constantly fed by new, ever more ludicrous pieces of information about the harmlessness and innocuousness of the technology? Are there people at work who – under the smoke screen of health authorities, scientific institutions etc. – only talk and write whatever the investment strategies of the mobile industry require and design their studies accordingly?

Why are we always presented with insignificant but luridly presented news items in order to demonstrate innocuousness to the public, and why are there again and again scientists who stoop to publishing such papers?

Can money buy the denial of the danger of electromagnetic waves against all currently available scientific knowledge?

In one or two decades, our children and grandchildren will suffer from irreversible damage, which we might not even live to see.

Whoever consciously ignores such publications and does not prevent or reverse the installation of wireless telecoms equipment and other wireless equipment, will pay a high price.

A short overview of the real situation can be found in the enclosures or can be read or downloaded from www.hese-project.org.

Yours sincerely

Karl Hecht

Encl: Zivilschutzforschung Bd. 48 Zweiter Gefahrenbericht der Schutzkommission beim Bundesminister des Inneren. Bericht über mögliche Gefahren für die Bevölkerung bei Großkatastrophen und im Verteidigungsfall. Okt. 2001

(Civil Defence Research, Issue 48, Second Report on Risk issued by the Federal Ministry for Interior Affairs' Protection Commission: Report about possible hazards for the civil population in the case of catastrophic events or war, October 2001)

Stellungnahme zum Schweizer Rundbrief des Herrn Silny. (Comments to the Swiss Circular issued by Mr.Silny)

Literatur (Literature References)